IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION STAMP NO. 2871 OF 2007
IN
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2095 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1327 OF 2001

Mr. Ramchandra Dhondi Sawant ..Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and ors. ..Respondents

WITH
REVIEW PETITION STAMP NO. 2867 OF 2007
IN
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2049 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1330 OF 2001

Mr. Dnyandeo Laxman Sawant ..Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra and ors. ..Respondents

Mr. P.S. Dani i/by M/s. Kiran Jain and Co. for petitioners.
Ms. M.P. Thakur, AGP for State.

CORAM: B.H. MARLAPALLE & J.H. BHATIA,JJ.
Date : May 03, 2007.

P.C.:

. Heard Mr. Dani the learned counsel for the review petitioners. Ms. Thakur, the learned AGP appears for the State.

. By our order dated 8/12/2006 Civil Application No. 2049/06 filed in Writ Petition No. 1330 of 2001 and Civil Application No. 2095/06 filed in Writ

Petition No. 1327 of 2001 came to be decided. In these review petitions grievance is raised in respect of the observations made in para 3 of our order dated 8/12/2006. At the same time, it is admitted that the review petitioners have not yet approached the Land Acquisition Officer so as to ascertain whether any land beyond the land mentioned in the Notification under Section 4 or declaration under Section 6 or the award passed under Section 11-A of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 has, in fact, been acquired from the ownership of the petitioners and in case such excess land has been acquired, whether the same is in possession of the State Government or it has already been allotted for resettlement or for any other purpose. We, therefore, do not find any case, as at present, to review the order dated 8/12/2006 and more particularly our observations in para 3 therein.

. Review Petitions are, therefore, rejected summarily with liberty.

(J.H. Bhatia,J.) **(B.H. Marlapalle,J.)**